.FO 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 8050 of 1988

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE N.N.MATHUR

\_\_\_\_\_

Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?

JJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJ

- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
- Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

\_\_\_\_\_\_

PRAVINCHANDRA H GANDHI

Versus

BARODA MUNI. CORPN.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Appearance:

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}$  PB MAJMUDAR for Petitioner

MR GN DESAI for Respondent No. 1

MR MI HAVA for Respondent No. 2

MR MD PANDYA for Respondent No. 3

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE N.N.MATHUR Date of decision: 23/02/96

## ORAL JUDGEMENT

The petitioner has already been dispossessed. It is stated that in pursuance of the order of the Supreme Court, the respondent Corporation has prepared a scheme.

The petitioner may make a representation for allotment of alternative accommodation to the respondent-Corporation within a period of one month. The respondent-Corporation shall examine whether the petitioner is entitled to alternative accommodation under the scheme or not. The representation shall be disposed of within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of the representation. With this observation, this Special Civil Application is disposed of. Rule discharged.

. . . . .